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Texture Mapping and Supersampling

Overall goal — display nice looking textures on objects in our scene, both for closeups and for distance shots!

- **Part I:**
  - Sampling, aliasing, and supersampling

- **Part II:**
  - Perspective correct interpolation (or .. using barycentric coordinates properly)

- **Part III:**
  - Texture mapping
  - Upsampling and downsampling with the mipmap
Part I: Sampling, Aliasing, and Supersampling
Sampling 101: Sampling a 1D signal

$f(x)$

$x$
Sampling = taking measurements of a signal

Below: 5 measurements (“samples”) of $f(x)$
Audio file: stores samples of a 1D signal

(amplitude vs. time)

(most consumer audio is sampled 44,100 times per second, i.e., at 44.1 KHz)
Reconstruction: given a set of samples, how might we attempt to reconstruct the original signal \( f(x) \)?
Piecewise constant approximation

\[ \hat{f}(x) = \text{value of sample closest to } x \]
Piecewise linear approximation

\( \hat{f}(x) = \text{linear interpolation between values of two closest samples to } x \)
How can we represent the signal more accurately?

Sample signal more densely (increase sampling rate)
Reconstruction from denser sampling

- Reconstruction via nearest
- Reconstruction via linear interpolation
2D Sampling & Reconstruction

- Basic story doesn't change much for images:
  - sample values measure image (i.e., signal) at sample points
  - apply interpolation/reconstruction filter to approximate image

original

piecewise constant
("nearest neighbor")

piecewise bi-linear
Sampling 101: Summary

- **Sampling** = measurement of a signal
  - Encode signal as discrete set of samples
  - In principle, represent values at specific points (though hard to measure in reality!)

- **Reconstruction** = generating signal from a discrete set of samples
  - Construct a function that interpolates or approximates function values
  - E.g., piecewise constant/“nearest neighbor”, or piecewise linear
  - Many more possibilities! For all kinds of signals (audio, images, geometry…)

[Image credit: Wikipedia]
For rasterization, what function are we sampling?

\[
\text{coverage}(x, y) := \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{triangle contains point } (x, y) \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
Simple rasterization: just **sample** the coverage function

Example: Here I chose the coverage sample point to be at a point corresponding to the pixel center.

- **= triangle covers sample**
- □ = triangle does not cover sample
Results of sampling triangle coverage
We see this when we look at the screen
(assuming a screen pixel emits a square of perfectly uniform intensity of light)
But the real coverage signal looked like this!
Aliasing
Goal: reproduce original signal as accurately as possible.
1D signal can be expressed as a superposition of frequencies

\[ f_1(x) = \sin(\pi x) \]

\[ f_2(x) = \sin(2\pi x) \]

\[ f_4(x) = \sin(4\pi x) \]

\[ f(x) = f_1(x) + 0.75 f_2(x) + 0.5 f_4(x) \]
E.g., audio spectrum analyzer shows the amplitude of each frequency.
Aliasing in Audio

Get a constant tone by playing a sinusoid of frequency \( \omega \):

\[
\text{Play}[\text{Sin}[4000 \, t], \{t, 0, 1\}]
\]

\[
\text{Play}[\text{Sin}[5000 \, t], \{t, 0, 1\}]
\]

\[
\text{Play}[\text{Sin}[6000 \, t], \{t, 0, 1\}]
\]

Q: What happens if we increase \( \omega \) over time?

\[
\omega(t) = 6000 \, t
\]

Why did that happen?
Undersampling high-frequency signals results in **aliasing**

"Aliasing": high frequencies in the original signal masquerade as low frequencies after reconstruction (due to undersampling)

Low-frequency signal: sampled adequately for accurate reconstruction

High-frequency signal is insufficiently sampled: reconstruction appears to be from a low frequency signal
Images can also be decomposed into “frequencies”
Low frequencies only (smooth gradients)

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after low-pass filter)
All frequencies above cutoff have 0 magnitude
Mid-range frequencies

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after band-pass filter)
Mid-range frequencies

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after band-pass filter)
High frequencies (edges)

Spatial domain result (strongest edges)

Spectrum (after high-pass filter)
All frequencies below threshold have 0 magnitude
An image as a sum of its frequency components
Spatial aliasing: the function \( \sin(x^2 + y^2) \)

- **Rings in center-left:** Actual signal (low frequency oscillation)
- **Middle:** Ring frequency approaches limit of what we can represent w/ individual pixels
- **Right:** Aliasing from undersampling high frequency oscillation makes it appear that rings are low-frequency (they’re not!)
Temporal aliasing: wagon wheel effect

Camera’s frame rate (temporal sampling rate) is too low for rapidly spinning wheel.
Nyquist-Shannon theorem

- Consider a band-limited signal: has no frequencies above some threshold \( \omega_0 \)
  - 1D example: low-pass filtered audio signal
  - 2D example: blurred image example from a few slides ago

- The signal can be perfectly reconstructed if sampled with period \( T = 1 / 2\omega_0 \)

- ...and if interpolation is performed using a “sinc filter”
  - ideal filter with no frequencies above cutoff (infinite extent!)

\[
sinc(x) = \frac{1}{\pi x} \sin(\pi x)
\]
Challenges of sampling in computer graphics

- Signals are often not band-limited in computer graphics. Why?
  
  Hint:

- Also, infinite extent of “ideal” reconstruction filter (sinc) is impractical for efficient implementations. Why?
Aliasing artifacts in images

- Imperfect sampling + imperfect reconstruction leads to image artifacts
  - “Jaggies” in a static image
  - “Roping” or “shimmering” of images when animated
  - Moiré patterns in high-frequency areas of images
How can we reduce aliasing?

- No matter what we do, **aliasing is a fact of life**: any sampled representation eventually fails to capture frequencies that are too high.

- But we can still do our best to try to match sampling and reconstruction so that the signal we reproduce looks as much as possible like the signal we acquire.

- For instance, if we think of a pixel as a “little square” of light, then we want the **total** light emitted to be the same as the total light in that pixel:
  - I.e., we want to integrate the signal over the pixel (“box filter”)

Let’s (approximately) integrate the signal **coverage (x,y)** by sampling...
Initial coverage sampling rate (1 sample per pixel)
Increase frequency of sampling coverage signal
Supersampling
Resampling

Converting from one discrete sampled representation to another

Original signal (high frequency edge)

Dense sampling of reconstructed signal

Reconstructed signal (lacks high frequencies)

Coarsely sampled signal
Resample to display's pixel resolution

(Because a screen displays one sample value per screen pixel...)
Resample to display’s pixel rate (box filter)
Resample to display’s pixel rate (box filter)
Displayed result (note anti-aliased edges)
Recall: the real coverage signal was this
Single Sample vs. Supersampling

single sampling

2x2 supersampling
Single Sample vs. Supersampling

single sampling

4x4 supersampling
Single Sample vs. Supersampling

single sampling

32x32 supersampling
Checkerboard — Exact Solution

In very special cases we can compute the exact coverage:

Such cases are extremely rare—want solutions that will work in the general case!

Part II: Perspective correct interpolation (or .. using barycentric coordinates properly)
Remember Barycentric Coordinates?

- Values of the three functions $\phi_i(x), \phi_j(x), \phi_k(x)$ for a given point are called barycentric coordinates.
- Can be computed from triangle area ratios, as byproduct of half-plane tests used for rasterization, among other techniques.
- Can be used to interpolate any attribute associated with vertices. (color*, texture coordinates, etc.)

\[
\text{color}(x) = \text{color}(x_i) \phi_i + \text{color}(x_j) \phi_j + \text{color}(x_k) \phi_k
\]
There is a small difficulty with this simple and beautiful idea...

In general, interpolation in screen space using barycentric coordinates will give an incorrect answer.

Why?
It has to do with perspective projection...
Perspective-incorrect interpolation

Due to perspective projection (homogeneous divide), barycentric interpolation of values on a triangle with different depths is not an affine function of screen XY coordinates.

Want to interpolate attribute values linearly in 3D object space, not image space.
Example: perspective incorrect interpolation

Consider a quadrilateral split into two triangles:

If we compute barycentric coordinates using 2D (projected) coordinates, leads to (derivative) discontinuity in interpolation where quad was split.
Perspective Correct Interpolation

- **Goal:** interpolate some attribute $\phi$ at vertices
- **Basic recipe:**
  - Compute depth $z$ at each vertex
  - Evaluate $Z := 1/z$ and $P := \phi/z$ at each vertex
  - Interpolate $Z$ and $P$ using standard (2D) barycentric coords
  - At each fragment, divide interpolated $P$ by interpolated $Z$ to get final value

For a derivation, see Low, “Perspective-Correct Interpolation”
Part III: Texture mapping + Upsampling and downsampling with the mipmap
Texture Mapping
Many uses of texture mapping

Define variation in surface reflectance

Pattern on ball
Wood grain on floor
Describe surface material properties

Multiple layers of texture maps for color, logos, scratches, etc.
Normal & Displacement Mapping

**normal mapping**

Use texture value to perturb surface normal to “fake” appearance of a bumpy surface

**displacement mapping**

dice up surface geometry into tiny triangles & offset positions according to texture values (note bumpy silhouette and shadow boundary)
Represent precomputed lighting and shadows

Grace Cathedral environment map

Environment map used in rendering
Texture coordinates

- “Texture coordinates” define a mapping from surface coordinates to points in texture domain.
- Often defined by linearly interpolating texture coordinates at triangle vertices.

Suppose each cube face is split into eight triangles, with texture coordinates \((u,v)\) at each vertex.

A texture on the \([0,1]^2\) domain can be specified by a 2048x2048 image.

Linearly interpolating texture coordinates & “looking up” color in texture gives this image:

(location of highlighted triangle in texture space shown in red)
Visualization of texture coordinates

Associating texture coordinates \((u, v)\) with colors helps to visualize mapping

\[
\begin{align*}
(0,0) & \text{ black} \\
(0,1) & \text{ green} \\
(1,0) & \text{ red}
\end{align*}
\]
More complex mapping

Visualization of texture coordinates

Triangle vertices in texture space

Each vertex has a coordinate \((u,v)\) in texture space
(Actually coming up with these coordinates is another story!)
Texture mapping adds detail

Each triangle “copies” a piece of the image back to the surface
Texture mapping adds detail

rendering without texture  rendering with texture  texture image

zoom
Another example: periodic coordinates

Q: Why do you think texture coordinates might repeat over the surface?
Textured Sponza

A: Want to tile a texture many times (rather than store a huge image!)
Texture Sampling 101

- Basic algorithm for texture mapping:
  - for each pixel in the rasterized image:
    - interpolate \((u, v)\) coordinates across triangle
    - sample (evaluate) texture at interpolated \((u, v)\)
    - set color of fragment to sampled texture value

...sadly not this easy in general!
Recall: aliasing

Undersampling a high-frequency signal can result in aliasing.

\[ f(x) \]

\[ x \]
Visualizing texture samples

Since triangles are projected from 3D to 2D, pixels in screen space will correspond to regions of varying size & location in texture.

Sample positions in screen space are uniformly distributed in screen space (rasterizer samples triangle’s appearance at these locations).

Sample positions in texture space are not uniform (texture function is sampled at these locations).

Irregular sampling pattern makes it hard to avoid aliasing!
Magnification vs. Minification

**Magnification (easier):**
- Example: camera is very close to scene object
- Single screen pixel maps to tiny region of texture
- Can just interpolate value at screen pixel center

**Minification (harder):**
- Example: scene object is very far away
- Single screen pixel maps to large region of texture
- Need to compute average texture value over pixel to avoid aliasing

Figure credit: Akeley and Hanrahan
Bilinear interpolation (magnification)

How can we “look up” a texture value at a non-integer location \((u, v)\)?

- **Linear** (each row):
  \[
  (1 - s)f_{01} + sf_{11}
  \]
  \[
  (1 - s)f_{00} + sf_{10}
  \]

- **Bilinear**:
  \[
  (1 - t)((1 - s)f_{00} + sf_{10}) + t((1 - s)f_{01} + sf_{11})
  \]

Q: What happens if we interpolate vertically first?

**Fast but ugly:** just grab value of nearest “texel” (texture pixel)
Aliasing due to minification
“Pre-filtering” texture (minification)
Texture prefiltering — basic idea

- Texture aliasing often occurs because a single pixel on the screen covers many pixels of the texture.

- If we just grab the texture value at the center of the pixel, we get aliasing (get a “random” color that changes if the sample moves even very slightly).

- Ideally, would use the average texture value—but this is expensive to compute.

- Instead, we can pre-compute the averages (once) and just look up these averages (many times) at run-time.

But which averages should we store? Can’t precompute them all!
Prefiltered textures

Actual texture: 700x700 image (only a crop is shown)

Actual texture: 64x64 image

Q: Are two resolutions enough?  A: No…
MIP map (L. Williams 83)

- Rough idea: store prefILTERED image at “every possible scale”
- Texels at higher levels store average of texture over a region of texture space (downsampled)
- Later: look up a single pixel from MIP map of appropriate size
Mipmap (L. Williams 83)

Williams’ original proposed mip-map layout

“Mip hierarchy” level = d

Q: What’s the storage overhead of a mipmap?
Computing MIP Map Level

Even within a single triangle, may want to sample from different MIP map levels:

Q: Which pixel should sample from a **coarser** MIP map level: the blue one, or the red one?
Computing Mip Map Level

Compute differences between texture coordinate values at neighboring samples

\[
\frac{du}{dx} = u_{10} - u_{00} \quad \frac{dv}{dx} = v_{10} - v_{00}
\]

\[
\frac{du}{dy} = u_{01} - u_{00} \quad \frac{dv}{dy} = v_{01} - v_{00}
\]

\[
L_x^2 = \left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dv}{dx}\right)^2 \quad L_y^2 = \left(\frac{du}{dy}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dv}{dy}\right)^2
\]

\[
L = \sqrt{\max(L_x^2, L_y^2)}
\]

mip-map level: \( d = \log_2 L \)
Visualization of mip-map level

\(d\) clamped to nearest level
Sponza (bilinear resampling at level 0)
Sponza (bilinear resampling at level 2)
Sponza (bilinear resampling at level 4)
Sponza (MIP mapped)

nicely filters the background

retains detail in the foreground
Problem with basic MIP mapping

- If we just use the nearest level, can get artifacts where level "jumps"—appearance sharply transitions from detailed to blurry texture

- **IDEA:** rather than clamping the MIP map level to the closest integer, use the original (continuous) MIP map level \( d \)

- **PROBLEM:** we only computed a fixed number of MIP map levels. How do we interpolate between levels?
Trilinear Filtering

- Used **bilinear** filtering for 2D data; can use **trilinear** filtering for 3D data

- Given a point \((u, v, w) \in [0, 1]^3\), and eight closest values \(f_{ijk}\)

- Just iterate linear filtering:
  - weighted average along \(u\)
  - weighted average along \(v\)
  - weighted average along \(w\)

\[
\begin{align*}
g_{00} &= (1 - u)f_{000} + uf_{100} \\
g_{10} &= (1 - u)f_{010} + uf_{110} \\
g_{01} &= (1 - u)f_{001} + uf_{101} \\
g_{11} &= (1 - u)f_{011} + uf_{111}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
h_0 &= (1 - v)g_{00} + vg_{10} \\
h_1 &= (1 - v)g_{01} + vg_{11} \\
(1 - w)h_0 + wh_1
\end{align*}
\]
MIP Map Lookup

- MIP map interpolation works essentially the same way
  - not interpolating from 3D grid
  - interpolate from two MIP map levels closest to $d \in \mathbb{R}$
  - perform bilinear interpolation independently in each level
  - interpolate between two bilinear values using $w = d - \lfloor d \rfloor$

Starts getting expensive! (⇒ specialized hardware)

Bilinear interpolation:
- four texel reads
- 3 linear interpolations (3 mul + 6 add)

Trilinear/MIP map interpolation:
- eight texel reads
- 7 linear interpolations (7 mul + 14 add)
Anisotropic Filtering

At grazing angles, samples may be stretched out by (very) different amounts along \( u \) and \( v \).

Overblurring in \( u \) direction

Common solution: combine multiple MIP map samples (even more arithmetic/bandwidth!)
Texture Sampling Pipeline

1. Compute $u$ and $v$ from screen sample $(x, y)$ via barycentric interpolation

2. Approximate $\frac{du}{dx}, \frac{du}{dy}, \frac{dv}{dx}, \frac{dv}{dy}$ by taking differences of screen-adjacent samples

3. Compute mip map level $d$

4. Convert normalized $[0, 1]$ texture coordinate $(u, v)$ to pixel locations $(U, V) \in [W, H]$ in texture image

5. Determine addresses of texels needed for filter (e.g., eight neighbors for trilinear)

6. Load texels into local registers

7. Perform tri-linear interpolation according to $(U, V, d)$

8. (…even more work for anisotropic filtering…)

Takeaway: high-quality texturing requires far more work than just looking up a pixel in an image! Each sample demands significant arithmetic & bandwidth

For this reason, graphics processing units (GPUs) have dedicated, fixed-function hardware support to perform texture sampling operations
Texture Mapping—Summary

- Once we have 2D primitives, can interpolate attributes across vertices using barycentric coordinates
- Important example: texture coordinates, used to copy pieces of a 2D image onto a 3D surface
- Careful texture filtering is needed to avoid aliasing
  - Key idea: what’s the average color covered by a pixel?
  - For magnification, can just do a bilinear lookup
  - For minification, use prefiltering to compute averages ahead of time
    - a MIP map stores averages at different levels
    - blend between levels using trilinear filtering
  - At grazing angles, anisotropic filtering needed to deal w/ “stretching” of samples
  - In general, no perfect solution to aliasing! Try to balance quality & efficiency
Next Time: 3D Rotations