

Numerical Integration


Computer Graphics
CMU 15-462/15-662

Administrivia

- **A3 checkpoint due 4/8**
- **A3 due 4/15**

Motivation: The Rendering Equation

- Recall the rendering equation, which models light “bouncing around the scene”:



$$L_o(\mathbf{p}, \omega_o) = L_e(\mathbf{p}, \omega_o) + \int_{\mathcal{H}^2} f_r(\mathbf{p}, \omega_i \rightarrow \omega_o) L_i(\mathbf{p}, \omega_i) \cos \theta \, d\omega_i$$


How can we possibly evaluate this integral?

Numerical Integration—Overview

- In graphics, many quantities we're interested in are naturally expressed as integrals (total brightness, total area, ...)
- For very, very simple integrals, we can compute the solution analytically
- For everything else, we have to compute a numerical approximation
- Basic idea:
 - integral is “area under curve”
 - sample the function at many points
 - integral is approximated as weighted sum



$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{3} x^2 dx = \left[x^3 \right]_0^1 = 1$$



Rendering: what are we integrating?


- Recall this view of the world:



**Want to “sum up”—i.e., integrate!—light from all directions
(But let’s start a little simpler...)**


Review: integral as “area under curve”

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx$$




Or: average value times size of domain

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = (b - a) \text{mean}(f)$$




Review: fundamental theorem of calculus

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a)$$
$$f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F(x)$$




Simple case: constant function

$$\int_a^b C dx = (b - a)C$$




Affine function: $f(x) = cx + d$

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}(f(a) + f(b))(b - a)$$




Need only one sample of the function (at just the right place...)

More general polynomials?




Gauss Quadrature


- For any polynomial of degree n , we can always obtain the exact integral by sampling at a special set of n points and taking a special weighted combination




$n=1$




$n=2$



$n=4$



$n=3$




$n=5$

Piecewise affine function

For piecewise functions, just sum integral of each piece:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i) (f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1}))$$



Piecewise affine function


If $N-1$ segments are of equal length: $h = \frac{b-a}{n-1}$

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1}))$$

$$= h \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i) + \frac{1}{2} (f(x_0) + f(x_n)) \right)$$

Weighted combination
of measurements.

$$= \sum_{i=0}^n A_i f(x_i)$$



Key idea so far:


To approximate an integral, we need

(i) quadrature points, and

(ii) weights for each point

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i)$$

Arbitrary function $f(x)$?




Trapezoid rule

Approximate integral of $f(x)$ by assuming function is piecewise linear

For equal length segments: $h = \frac{b - a}{n - 1}$

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = h \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i) + \frac{1}{2} (f(x_0) + f(x_n)) \right)$$




Trapezoid rule


Consider cost and accuracy of estimate as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (or $h \rightarrow 0$)

Work: $O(n)$

Error can be shown to be: $O(h^2) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$
(for $f(x)$ with continuous second derivative)



What about a 2D function?



How should we approximate the area underneath?

Integration in 2D

Consider integrating $f(x, y)$ using the trapezoidal rule
(apply rule twice: when integrating in x and in y)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a_y}^{b_y} \int_{a_x}^{b_x} f(x, y) dx dy &= \int_{a_y}^{b_y} \left(O(h^2) + \sum_{i=0}^n A_i f(x_i, y) \right) dy && \text{First application of rule} \\ &= O(h^2) + \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \int_{a_y}^{b_y} f(x_i, y) dy \\ &= O(h^2) + \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \left(O(h^2) + \sum_{j=0}^n A_j f(x_i, y_j) \right) && \text{Second application} \\ &= O(h^2) + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n A_i A_j f(x_i, y_j) \end{aligned}$$

Errors add, so error still: $O(h^2)$

But work is now: $O(n^2)$

($n \times n$ set of measurements)

Must perform much more work in 2D to get same error bound on integral!


In K-D, let $N = n^k$

Error goes as: $O\left(\frac{1}{N^{2/k}}\right)$


Curse of Dimensionality

- How much does it cost to apply the trapezoid rule as we go up in dimension?

- 1D: $O(n)$
- 2D: $O(n^2)$
- ...
- k D: $O(n^k)$




- For many problems in graphics (like rendering), k is very, very big (e.g., tens or hundreds or thousands)
- Applying trapezoid rule does not scale!
- Need a fundamentally different approach...



Monte Carlo Integration

Monte Carlo Integration

So far we've discussed techniques that use a fixed set of sample points (e.g., uniformly spaced, or obtained by finding roots of polynomial (Gaussian quadrature))

- Estimate value of integral using random sampling of function 
 - Value of estimate depends on random samples used
 - But algorithm gives the correct value of integral “on average”
- Only requires function to be evaluated at random points on its domain
 - Applicable to functions with discontinuities, functions that are impossible to integrate directly
- Error of estimate is independent of the dimensionality of the integrand
 - Depends on the number of random samples used: $O(n^{1/2})$

Recall previous trapezoidal rule example: $O(n^{-1/k})$
(dropping the n^2 for simplicity)

Review: random variables

X random variable. Represents a distribution of potential values

$X \sim p(x)$ probability density function (PDF). Describes relative probability of a random process choosing value x

Uniform PDF: all values over a domain are equally likely

e.g., for an unbiased die

X takes on values 1,2,3,4,5,6


$$p(1) = p(2) = p(3) = p(4) = p(5) = p(6)$$



Discrete probability distributions

n discrete values x_i

With probability p_i



Requirements of a PDF:

$$p_i \geq 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$$

Six-sided die example: $p_i = \frac{1}{6}$

Think: p_i is the probability that a random measurement of X will yield the value x_i

X takes on the value x_i with probability p_i

Cumulative distribution function (CDF)



(For a discrete probability distribution)

Cumulative PDF: $P_j = \sum_{i=1}^j p_i$

where:

$$0 \leq P_i \leq 1$$

$$P_n = 1$$



How do we generate samples of a discrete random variable (with a known PDF?)



Sampling from discrete probability distributions

To randomly select an event,
select x_i if

$$P_{i-1} < \xi \leq P_i$$



Uniform random variable $\in [0, 1)$



Continuous probability distributions

PDF $p(x)$

$$p(x) \geq 0$$

CDF $P(x)$

$$P(x) = \int_0^x p(x) dx$$



$$P(x) = \Pr(X < x)$$

$$P(1) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(a \leq X \leq b) &= \int_a^b p(x) dx \\ &= P(b) - P(a) \end{aligned}$$

Uniform distribution

(for random variable X defined on $[0,1]$ domain)



Sampling continuous random variables using the inversion method

Cumulative probability distribution function


$$P(x) = \Pr(X < x)$$

Construction of samples:

Solve for $x = P^{-1}(\xi)$


Must know the formula for:

1. The integral of $p(x)$
2. The inverse function $P^{-1}(x)$




Example—Sampling Quadratic Distribution

- As a toy example, consider the simple probability distribution $p(x) := 3(x-1)^2$ over the interval $[0,1]$
- How do we pick random samples distributed according to $p(x)$?
- First, integrate probability distribution $p(x)$ to get cumulative distribution $P(x)$
- Invert $P(x)$ by solving $y = P(x)$ for x
- Finally, plug uniformly distributed random values y in $[0,1]$ into this expression




$$\int_0^s 3(x-1)^2 dx = s^3 - 3s^2 + 3s$$

$$x = 1 - (1 - y)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$



How do we uniformly sample the unit circle?



I.e., choose any point $P=(p_x, p_y)$ in circle with equal probability)


Uniformly sampling unit circle: first try

- $\theta =$ uniform random angle between 0 and 2π
- $r =$ uniform random radius between 0 and 1
- Return point: $(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$

This algorithm does not produce the desired uniform sampling of the area of a circle. Why?

Because sampling is not uniform in area!

Points farther from center of circle are less likely to be chosen



$$\theta = 2\pi\xi_1 \quad r = \xi_2$$

So how should we pick samples? Well, think about how we integrate over a disk in polar coordinates...

Sampling a circle (via inversion in 2D)

$$A = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 r \, dr \, d\theta = \int_0^1 r \, dr \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = \left(\frac{r^2}{2} \right) \Big|_0^1 \Big|_0^{2\pi} = \pi$$

$$p(r, \theta) \, dr \, d\theta = \frac{1}{\pi} r \, dr \, d\theta \rightarrow p(r, \theta) = \frac{r}{\pi}$$

so that we integrate to 1 instead of area


$$p(r, \theta) = p(r)p(\theta) \quad \leftarrow r, \theta \text{ independent}$$

$$p(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

$$P(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \theta \quad \theta = 2\pi \xi_1$$

$$p(r) = 2r$$


$$P(r) = r^2 \quad r = \sqrt{\xi_2}$$



Uniform area sampling of a circle

WRONG

**probability is uniform;
samples are not!**




$$\theta = 2\pi\xi_1$$

$$r = \xi_2$$

RIGHT

**probability is nonuniform;
samples are uniform**




$$\theta = 2\pi\xi_1$$

$$r = \sqrt{\xi_2}$$

Uniform sampling via rejection sampling


**Completely different idea: pick uniform samples in square (easy)
Then toss out any samples not in square (easy)**



Efficiency of technique: area of circle / area of square

Efficiency of Rejection Sampling

- If the region we care about covers only a very small fraction of the region we're sampling, rejection is probably a bad idea:



Smarter in this case to “warp” our random variables to follow the spiral.

Next Time: Monte Carlo Ray Tracing

