Introduction to Geometry

Computer Graphics
CMU 15-462/15-662
Assignment 2

- Start building up “Scotty3D”; first part is 3D modeling

(Start from the cube you described in Lecture 1!)
3D Modeling Competition

(This mesh was created in Scotty3D in about 5 minutes... you can do much better!)
Increasing the complexity of our models

Transformations

Geometry

Materials, lighting, ...
Q: What is geometry?

A: Geometry is the study of two-column proofs.

Ceci n'est pas géométrie.

See: Paul Lockhart, “A Mathematician’s Lament”
What is geometry?

“Earth”    “measure”

**geometry** /jēˈämətrē/ *n.*
1. The study of shapes, sizes, patterns, and positions.
2. The study of spaces where some quantity (lengths, angles, etc.) can be *measured*.

Plato: “...the earth is in appearance like one of those balls which have leather coverings in twelve pieces...”
How can we describe geometry?

**IMPLICIT**

\[ x^2 + y^2 = 0 \]

**LINGUISTIC**

"unit circle"

**EXPLICIT**

\( (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \)

**TOMOGRAPHIC**

(constant density)

**DYNAMIC**

\[ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} x = -x \]

**CURVATURE**

\( \kappa = 1 \)

**SYMMETRIC**

rotate

**DISCRETE**

\( n \to \infty \)
Given all these options, what’s the best way to encode geometry on a computer?
Examples of geometry
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It’s a Jungle Out There!
No one “best” choice—geometry is hard!

“I hate meshes. I cannot believe how hard this is. Geometry is hard.”

—David Baraff
Senior Research Scientist
Pixar Animation Studios
Many ways to digitally encode geometry

- **EXPLICIT**
  - point cloud
  - polygon mesh
  - subdivision, NURBS
  - ...

- **IMPLICIT**
  - level set
  - algebraic surface
  - L-systems
  - ...

- Each choice best suited to a different task/type of geometry
“Implicit” Representations of Geometry

- Points aren’t known directly, but satisfy some relationship
- E.g., unit sphere is all points such that $x^2+y^2+z^2=1$
- More generally, $f(x, y, z) = 0$
Many implicit representations in graphics

- algebraic surfaces
- constructive solid geometry
- level set methods
- blobby surfaces
- fractals
- ...

(Will see some of these a bit later.)
But first, let’s play a game:

I’m thinking of an implicit surface $f(x,y,z)=0$.

Find any point on it.
Give up?

My function was \( f(x,y,z) = x - 1.23 \) (a plane):

\[
(1.23, 0, 0)
\]

Implicit surfaces make some tasks hard (like sampling).
Let’s play another game.

I have a new surface \( f(x,y,z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1 \)

I want to see if a point is inside it.
Check if this point is inside the unit sphere

How about the point \((3/4, 1/2, 1/4)\)?

\[
\frac{9}{16} + \frac{4}{16} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{7}{8}
\]

\[
\frac{7}{8} < 1
\]

YES.

Implicit surfaces make other tasks easy (like inside/outside tests).
"Explicit" Representations of Geometry

- All points are given directly
- E.g., points on sphere are \((\cos(u) \sin(v), \sin(u) \sin(v), \cos(v))\), for \(0 \leq u < 2\pi\) and \(0 \leq v \leq \pi\)
- More generally: \(f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3; (u, v) \mapsto (x, y, z)\)
- ( Might have a bunch of these maps, e.g., one per triangle! )
Many explicit representations in graphics

- triangle meshes
- polygon meshes
- subdivision surfaces
- NURBS
- point clouds
- ...

(Will see some of these a bit later.)
But first, let’s play a game:

I’ll give you an explicit surface.

You give me some points on it.
Sampling an explicit surface

My surface is $f(u, v) = (1.23, u, v)$.

Just plug in any values $(u,v)$!

Explicit surfaces make some tasks easy (like sampling).
Let’s play another game.

I have a new surface $f(u,v)$.

I want to see if a point is inside it.
Check if this point is inside the torus

My surface is \( f(u,v) = (2 + \cos(u))\cos(v), 2 + \cos(u))\sin(v), \sin(u) \)

How about the point \((1, \sqrt{3}, 5/4)\)?

...NO!

Explicit surfaces make other tasks hard (like inside/outside tests).
CONCLUSION:
Some representations work better than others—depends on the task!
Different representations will also be better suited to different types of geometry.

Let’s take a look at some common representations used in computer graphics.
Algebraic Surfaces (Implicit)

- Surface is zero set of a polynomial in x, y, z (“algebraic variety”)
- Examples:
  
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  x^2 + y^2 + z^2 &= 1 \\
  (R - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2})^2 + z^2 &= r^2 \\
  (x^2 + \frac{9y^2}{4} + z^2 - 1)^3 &= x^2 z^3 + \frac{9y^2 z^3}{80}
  \end{align*}
  \]

- What about more complicated shapes?

- Very hard to come up with polynomials!
Constructive Solid Geometry (Implicit)

- Build more complicated shapes via Boolean operations
- Basic operations:
  - UNION
  - INTERSECTION
  - DIFFERENCE

- Then chain together expressions:
  \[(X \cap Y) \setminus (U \cup V \cup W)\]
Bloppy Surfaces (Implicit)

- Instead of Booleans, gradually blend surfaces together:

- Easier to understand in 2D:
  \[ \phi_p(x) := e^{\|x-p\|^2} \]  
  (Gaussian centered at \( p \))

  \[ f := \phi_p + \phi_q \]  
  (Sum of Gaussians centered at different points)

\[ f = \frac{1}{2} \]
Blending Distance Functions (Implicit)

- A distance function gives distance to closest point on object
- Can blend any two distance functions $d_1, d_2$:

$$f(x) := e^{d_1(x)^2} + e^{d_2(x)^2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

- Similar strategy to points, though many possibilities. E.g.,

- Appearance depends on exactly how we combine functions
- Q: How do we implement a simple Boolean union?
- A: Just take the minimum: $f(x) := \min(d_1(x)) + \min(d_2(x))$
Scene of pure distance functions (not easy!)

Level Set Methods (Implicit)

- Implicit surfaces have some nice features (e.g., merging/splitting)
- But, hard to describe complex shapes in closed form
- Alternative: store a grid of values approximating function

Surface is found where interpolated values equal zero

Provides much more explicit control over shape (like a texture)

Often demands sophisticated filtering (trilinear, tricubic...)

$$f(x) = 0$$
Level Sets from Medical Data (CT, MRI, etc.)

- Level sets encode, e.g., constant tissue density
Level Sets in Physical Simulation

- Level set encodes distance to air-liquid boundary

See http://physbam.stanford.edu
Level Set Storage

- Drawback: storage for 2D surface is now $O(n^3)$
- Can reduce cost by storing only a narrow band around surface:
Fractals (Implicit)

- No precise definition; exhibit self-similarity, detail at all scales
- New “language” for describing natural phenomena
- Hard to control shape!
Mandelbrot Set - Definition

- For each point \( c \) in the plane:
  - double the angle
  - square the magnitude
  - add the original point \( c \)
  - repeat

- Complex version:
  - Replace \( z \) with \( z^2 + c \)
  - repeat

If the point remains bounded (never goes to \( \infty \)), it’s in the set.
Mandelbrot Set - Examples

starting point

- $(0, 1/2)$ (converges)
- $(0, 1)$ (periodic)
- $(1/3, 1/2)$ (diverges)
Mandelbrot Set - Zooming In

(Colored according to how quickly each point diverges/converges.)
Iterated Function Systems

Scott Draves (CMU Alumnus) - see http://electricsheep.org
Implicit Representations - Pros & Cons

Pros:
- description can be very compact (e.g., a polynomial)
- easy to determine if a point is in our shape (just plug it in!)
- other queries may also be easy (e.g., distance to surface)
- for simple shapes, exact description/no sampling error
- easy to handle changes in topology (e.g., fluid)

Cons:
- expensive to find all points in the shape (e.g., for drawing)
- very difficult to model complex shapes
What about explicit representations?
Point Cloud (Explicit)

- Easiest representation: list of points \((x,y,z)\)
- Often augmented with normals
- Easily represent any kind of geometry
- Useful for LARGE datasets \((>>1\ \text{point/pixel})\)
- Hard to interpolate undersampled regions
- Hard to do processing / simulation / \ldots\
Polygon Mesh (Explicit)

- Store vertices and polygons (most often triangles or quads)
- Easier to do processing/simulation, adaptive sampling
- More complicated data structures
- Perhaps most common representation in graphics

(Much more about polygon meshes in upcoming lectures!)
Triangle Mesh (Explicit)

- Store vertices as triples of coordinates \((x,y,z)\)
- Store triangles as triples of indices \((i,j,k)\)

E.g., tetrahedron:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERTICES</th>
<th>TRIANGLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0: -1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: 1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: -1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use linear interpolation to define points inside triangles:

\[ f(u,v) = a + u(b-a) + v(c-a) \]
Bernstein Basis

- Why limit ourselves to just linear interpolation?
- More flexibility by using higher-order polynomials
- Instead of usual basis \((1, x, x^2, x^3, \ldots)\), use Bernstein basis:

\[
B^n_k(x) := \binom{n}{k} x^k (1 - x)^{n-k}
\]
Bézier Curves (Explicit)

- A Bézier curve is a curve expressed in the Bernstein basis:

\[ \gamma(s) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k}(s)p_k \]

- For \( n=1 \), just get a line segment!
- For \( n=3 \), get “cubic Bézier”:

Important features:

1. interpolates endpoints
2. tangent to end segments
3. contained in convex hull (nice for rasterization)
Higher-order Bézier Curves?

- What if we want a more interesting curve?
- High-degree Bernstein polynomials don’t interpolate well:

Very hard to control!
Piecewise Bézier Curves (Explicit)

- More interesting shapes: piece together many Bézier curves
- Widely-used technique (Illustrator, fonts, SVG, etc.)

Formally, piecewise Bézier curve:

\[
\gamma(u) \equiv \gamma_i \left( \frac{u - u_i}{u_{i+1} - u_i} \right), \quad u_i \leq u < u_{i+1}
\]
Bézier Curves — tangent continuity

- To get “seamless” curves, want tangents to line up:

- Ok, but how?
- Each curve is cubic: \( u^3 p_0 + 3u^2(1-u)p_1 + 3u(1-u)^2p_2 + (1-u)^3p_3 \)
- Want endpoints of each segment to meet
- Want tangents at endpoints to meet
- Q: How many constraints vs. degrees of freedom?
- Q: Could you do this with quadratic Bézier? Linear Bézier?
Tensor Product

- Can use a pair of curves to get a surface
- Value at any point \((u, v)\) given by product of a curve \(f\) at \(u\) and a curve \(g\) at \(v\) (sometimes called the “tensor product”):

\[
(f \otimes g)(u, v) := f(u)g(v)
\]
Bézier Patches

- Bézier patch is sum of (tensor) products of Bernstein bases

\[ B_{i,j}^3(u, v) := B_i^3(u) B_j^3(v) \]

\[ S(u, v) := \sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{3} B_{i,j}^3(u, v) p_{ij} \]
Bézier Surface

- Just as we connected Bézier curves, can connect Bézier patches to get a surface:

- Very easy to draw: just dice each patch into regular \((u,v)\) grid!

Q: Can we always get tangent continuity?
   (Think: how many constraints? How many degrees of freedom?)
Notice anything fishy about the last picture?
Bézier Patches are Too Simple

Notice that exactly four patches meet around every vertex!

In practice, far too constrained.

To make interesting shapes (with good continuity), we need patches that allow more interesting connectivity...
Spline patch schemes

- There are many alternatives!
- NURBS, Gregory, Pm, polar...
- Tradeoffs:
  - degrees of freedom
  - continuity
  - difficulty of editing
  - cost of evaluation
  - generality
  - ...
- As usual: pick the right tool for the job!
Subdivision (Explicit or Implicit?)

- Alternative starting point for curves/surfaces: subdivision
- Start with control curve
- Insert new vertex at each edge midpoint
- Update vertex positions according to fixed rule
- For careful choice of averaging rule, yields smooth curve
  - Some subdivision schemes correspond to well-known spline schemes!
Subdivision Surfaces (Explicit)

- Start with coarse polygon mesh ("control cage")
- Subdivide each element
- Update vertices via local averaging
- Many possible rule:
  - Catmull-Clark (quads)
  - Loop (triangles)
  - ...
- Common issues:
  - interpolating or approximating?
  - continuity at vertices?
- Easier than splines for modeling; harder to evaluate pointwise
Subdivision in Action (Pixar’s “Geri’s Game”)
Next time: Curves, Surfaces, & Meshes