# Lecture 8: <br> The Rasterization Pipeline <br> (and its implementation on GPUs) 

Computer Graphics<br>CMU 15-462/15-662, Spring 2018

## What you know how to do (at this point in the course)



## What else do you need to know to render a picture

 like this?
## Surface representation

 How to represent complex surfaces?
## Occlusion

Determining which surface is visible to the camera at each sample point

## Lighting/materials

Describing lights in scene and how materials reflect light.


## Course roadmap

Key concepts:
Sampling (and anti-aliasing)
Coordinate Spaces and Transforms
Introduction

Drawing a triangle (by sampling)

Transforms and coordinate spaces

Perspective projection and texture sampling

Today: putting it all together: end-to-end rasterization pipeline

## Materials and Lighting

## Occlusion

## Occlusion: which triangle is visible at each covered sample point?



## Review from last class

Assume we have a triangle defined by the screen-space 2D position and distance ("depth") from the camera of each vertex.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{p}_{0 x} & \mathbf{p}_{0 y}
\end{array}\right]^{T},} & d_{0} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{p}_{1 x} & \mathbf{p}_{1 y}
\end{array}\right]^{T},} & d_{1} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{p}_{2 x} & \mathbf{p}_{2 y}
\end{array}\right]^{T},} & d_{2}
\end{array}
$$

How do we compute the depth of the triangle at covered sample point $(x, y)$ ?

Interpolate it just like any other attribute that varies linearly over the surface of the triangle.

## Occlusion using the depth-buffer (Z-buffer)

For each coverage sample point, depth-buffer stores depth of closest triangle at this sample point that has been processed by the renderer so far.

Closest triangle at sample point ( $x, y$ ) is triangle with minimum depth at ( $x, y$ )

Initial state of depth buffer before rendering any triangles (all samples store farthest distance)

Grayscale value of sample point used to indicate distance

Black = small distance
White = large distance

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Depth buffer example



## Example: rendering three opaque triangles



## Occlusion using the depth-buffer (Z-buffer)

Processing yellow triangle: depth $=0.5$


Grayscale value of sample point used to indicate distance

White = large distance
Black = small distance
Red = sample passed depth test


## Occlusion using the depth-buffer (Z-buffer)

After processing yellow triangle:


Grayscale value of sample point used to indicate distance

White = large distance
Black = small distance
Red = sample passed depth test


## Occlusion using the depth-buffer (Z-buffer)

## Processing blue triangle: depth $=0.75$

## Occlusion using the depth-buffer (Z-buffer)

After processing blue triangle:


Grayscale value of sample point used to indicate distance
White = large distance
Black = small distance
Red = sample passed depth test


## Occlusion using the depth-buffer (Z-buffer)

Processing red triangle: depth $=0.25$

Grayscale value of sample point used to indicate distance

White = large distance
Black = small distance
Red = sample passed depth test


Depth buffer contents

## Occlusion using the depth-buffer (Z-buffer)

After processing red triangle:


Grayscale value of sample point used to indicate distance
White = large distance
Black = small distance
Red = sample passed depth test


## Occlusion using the depth buffer

```
bool pass_depth_test(d1, d2) {
    return d1 < d2;
}
depth_test(tri_d, tri_color, x, y) {
    if (pass_depth_test(tri_d, zbuffer[x][y]) {
    // triangle is closest object seen so far at this
    // sample point. Update depth and color buffers.
    zbuffer[x][y] = tri_d; // update zbuffer
    color[x][y] = tri_color; // update color buffer
    }
}
```


## Does depth-buffer algorithm handle interpenetrating surfaces? <br> Of course!

Occlusion test is based on depth of triangles at a given sample point. The relative depth of triangles may be different at different sample points.


## Does depth-buffer algorithm handle interpenetrating surfaces? <br> Of course!

Occlusion test is based on depth of triangles at a given sample point. The relative depth of triangles may be different at different sample points.


## Does depth buffer work with super sampling?

 Of course! Occlusion test is per sample, not per pixel!

This example: green triangle occludes yellow triangle

## Color buffer contents

## Color buffer contents (4 samples per pixel)



## Final resampled result



Note anti-aliasing of edge due to filtering of green and yellow samples.

## Summary: occlusion using a depth buffer

- Store one depth value per coverage sample (not per pixel!)
- Constant space per sample
- Implication: constant space for depth buffer
- Constant time occlusion test per covered sample
- Read-modify write of depth buffer if "pass" depth test
- Just a read if"fail"
- Not specific to triangles: only requires that surface depth can be evaluated at a screen sample point

But what about semi-transparent surfaces?

## Compositing

## Representing opacity as alpha

Alpha describes the opacity of an object

- Fully opaque surface: $\alpha=1$
- $50 \%$ transparent surface: $\alpha=0.5$
- Fully transparent surface: $\alpha=0$

Red triangle with decreasing opacity

$\alpha=1$
$\alpha=0.75$

$\alpha=0.5$

$\alpha=0.25$

$\alpha=0$

## Alpha: additional channel of image (rgba)


$\alpha$ of foreground object

## Over operator:

## Composite image $B$ with opacity $\alpha_{B}$ over image $A$ with opacity $\alpha_{A}$



B over A


A over B

A over B != B over A
"Over" is not commutative


Koala over NYC

## Fringing

## Poor treatment of color/alpha can yield dark "fringing":


foreground color

foreground alpha

background color

fringing

no fringing

## No fringing



## Fringing (...why does this happen?)



## Over operator: non-premultiplied alpha

Composite image $B$ with opacity $\alpha_{B}$ over image $A$ with opacity $\alpha_{A}$
A first attempt:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{r} & A_{g} & A_{b}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
B & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{r} & B_{g} & B_{b}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Appearance of semi-
transparent A

Composited color:

$$
C=\underset{\uparrow}{\alpha} B+\left(1-\alpha_{B}\right) \alpha_{A} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\wedge}
$$

Appearance of What B lets through semi-transparent B


A over B

A over B != B over A
"Over" is not commutative

## Over operator: premultiplied alpha

## Composite image $B$ with opacity $\alpha_{B}$ over image $A$ with opacity $\alpha_{A}$

Non-premultiplied alpha:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{r} & A_{g} & A_{b}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
B & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{r} & B_{g} & B_{b}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
C & =\alpha_{B} B+\left(1-\alpha_{B}\right) \alpha_{A} A
\end{aligned}
$$

$\longleftarrow$| two multiplies, one add |
| :--- |
| (referring to vector ops on colors) |

## Premultiplied alpha:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{A} A_{r} & \alpha_{A} A_{g} & \alpha_{A} A_{b} & \alpha_{A}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
B^{\prime} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{B} B_{r} & \alpha_{B} B_{g} & \alpha_{B} B_{b} & \alpha_{B}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
C^{\prime} & =B^{\prime}+\left(1-\alpha_{B}\right) A^{\prime} \longleftarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

## Composite alpha:

$$
\alpha_{C}=\alpha_{B}+\left(1-\alpha_{B}\right) \alpha_{A}
$$

Notice premultiplied alpha composites alpha just like how it composites rgb.
Non-premultiplied alpha composites alpha differently than rgb.

## A problem with non-premultiplied alpha

- Suppose we upsample an image w/ an alpha mask, then composite it onto a background
- How should we compute the interpolated color/alpha values?
- If we interpolate color and alpha separately, then blend using the non-premultiplied "over" operator, here's what happens:

composited onto yellow background

upsampled color

upsampled alpha

Notice black "fringe" that occurs because we're blending, e.g., $50 \%$ blue pixels using $50 \%$ alpha, rather than, say, $100 \%$ blue pixels with $50 \%$ alpha.

## Eliminating fringe w/ premultiplied "over"

- If we instead use the premultiplied "over" operation, we get the correct alpha:



## Eliminating fringe w/ premultiplied "over"

- If we instead use the premultiplied "over" operation, we get the correct alpha:



## Similar problem with non-premultiplied alpha

Consider pre-filtering (downsampling) a texture with an alpha matte


input color

input $\alpha$

filtered color filtered $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$
Downsampling non-premultiplied alpha image results in $50 \%$ opaque brown)
$0.25 *((0,1,0,1)+(0,1,0,1)+$ $(0,0,0,0)+(0,0,0,0))=(0,0.5,0,0.5) \quad$ premultiplied image
 filtered result

composited over white


## More problems: applying "over" repeatedly

Composite image $C$ with opacity $\alpha_{C}$ over B with opacity $\alpha_{B}$ over image $A$ with opacity $\alpha_{A}$
Non-premultiplied alpha is not closed under composition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A_{r} & A_{g} & A_{b}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
& B=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{r} & B_{g} & B_{b}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
& C=\alpha_{B} B+\left(1-\alpha_{B}\right) \alpha_{A} A \\
& \alpha_{C}=\alpha_{B}+\left(1-\alpha_{B}\right) \alpha_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$



C over B over A

Consider result of compositing $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ red over $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ red:
$C=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0.75 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{T}$
Wait... this result is the premultiplied color!
$\alpha_{C}=0.75$
"Over" for non-premultiplied alpha takes non-premultiplied colors to premultiplied colors ("over" operation is not closed)
Cannot compose "over" operations on non-premultiplied values: $\operatorname{over}(C, \operatorname{over}(B, A))$

Q: What would be the correct UN-premultiplied RGBA for $50 \%$ red on top of $50 \%$ red?

## Summary: advantages of premultiplied alpha

- Simple: compositing operation treats all channels (RGB and A) the same

■ More efficient than non-premultiplied representation: "over" requires fewer math ops

- Closed under composition
- Better representation for filtering (upsampling/ downsampling) textures with alpha channel


## Strategy for drawing semi-transparent primitives

Assuming all primitives are semi-transparent, and RGBA values are encoded with premultiplied alpha, here's one strategy for creating a correctly rasterized image:

```
over(c1, c2) {
    return c1.rgba + (1-c1.a) * c2.rgba;
}
update_color_buffer( x, y, sample_color, sample_depth )
{
    if (pass_depth_test(sample_depth, zbuffer[x][y]) {
        // (how) should we update depth buffer here??
        color[x][y] = over(sample_color, color[x][y]);
    }
}
```

Q: What is the assumption made by this implementation? Triangles must be rendered in back to front order!

## Putting it all together

## Now what if we have a mixture of opaque and transparent triangles?

Step 1: render opaque primitives (in any order) using depth-buffered occlusion If pass depth test, triangle overwrites value in color buffer at sample

Step 2: disable depth buffer update, render semi-transparent surfaces in back-to-front order. If pass depth test, triangle is composited OVER contents of color buffer at sample


# End-to-end rasterization pipeline ("real-time graphics pipeline") 

## Goal: turn these inputs into an image!

 Inputs:```
list_of_positions = { list_of_texcoords = {
    v0x, v0y, v0z,
    v1x, v1y, v1x,
    v2x, v2y, v2z,
    v3x, v3y, v3x,
    v4x, v4y, v4z,
    v5x, v5y, v5x };
```

```
    v0u, v0v,
```

    v0u, v0v,
    v1u, v1v,
    v1u, v1v,
    v2u, v2v,
    v2u, v2v,
    v3u, v3v,
    v3u, v3v,
    v4u, v4v,
    v4u, v4v,
    v5u, v5v };
    v5u, v5v };
    Object-to-camera-space transform: $\mathbf{T}$
Perspective projection transform $\mathbf{P}$

```


Texture map

Size of output image (W, H)
At this point we should have all the tools we need, but let's review...

Step 1:
Transform triangle vertices into camera space


\section*{Step 2:}

\section*{Apply perspective projection transform to transform triangle vertices into normalized coordinate space}


Camera-space positions: 3D


Normalized space positions

\section*{Step 3: clipping}
- Discard triangles that lie complete outside the unit cube (culling)
- They are off screen, don't bother processing them further
- Clip triangles that extend beyond the unit cube to the cube
- (possibly generating new triangles)


Triangles before clipping


Triangles after clipping

\section*{Step 4: transform to screen coordinates}

Perform homogeneous divide, transform vertex xy positions from normalized coordinates into screen coordinates (based on screen w,h)


\section*{Step 5: setup triangle (triangle preprocessing)}

Before rasterizing triangle, can compute a bunch of data that will be used by all fragments, e.g.,
- triangle edge equations
- triangle attribute equations
- etc.

\(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{E}_{01}(x, y) & \mathbf{U}(x, y) \\ \mathbf{E}_{12}(x, y) & \mathbf{V}(x, y) \\ \mathbf{E}_{20}(x, y) & \\ \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}}(x, y) & \\ \mathbf{Z}(x, y) & \end{array}\)

\section*{Step 6: sample coverage}

\section*{Evaluate attributes \(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\) at all covered samples}


\section*{Step 6: compute triangle color at sample point}

\section*{e.g., sample texture map *}

* So far, we've only described computing triangle's color at a point by interpolating per-vertex colors, or by sampling a texture map. Later in the course, we'll discuss more advanced algorithms for computing its color based on material properties and scene lighting conditions.

\title{
Step 7: perform depth test (if enabled) Also update depth value at covered samples (if necessary)
}
```

PASS
PASS PASS
FAIL PÅSS PASS
FÅIL PASS PASS PASS
FAIL FAIL PASS PASS PASS
FAIL FAlL PASS PASS PASS

```

\section*{Step 8: update color buffer (if depth test passed)}

\section*{OpenGL/Direct3D graphics pipeline *}

Structures rendering computation as a series of operations on vertices, primitives, fragments, and screen samples

* Several stages of the modern OpenGL pipeline are omitted
\({ }^{\circ} 3\)
\(\circ 4\) Input: vertices in 3D space
\(\circ 2\)

Vertices in positioned in normalized coordinate space

Triangles positioned on screen

Fragments (one fragment per covered sample)

Shaded fragments

Output: image (pixels)

\section*{OpenGL/Direct3D graphics pipeline *}
\(\circ 3\)

\(\circ 4\) Input vertices in 3D space
\(\circ 2\)

\section*{Pipeline inputs:}
- Input vertex data
- Parameters needed to compute position on vertices in normalized coordinates (e.g., transform matrices)
- Parameters needed to compute color of fragments (e.g., textures)
- "Shader" programs that define behavior of vertex and fragment stages

\section*{Shader programs}

Define behavior of vertex processing and fragment processing stages Describe operation on a single vertex (or single fragment)

\section*{Example GLSL fragment shader program}


\section*{Goal: render very high complexity 3D scenes}
- 100's of thousands to millions of triangles in a scene
- Complex vertex and fragment shader computations
- High resolution screen outputs (2-4 Mpixel + supersampling)
- 30-60 fps

\section*{Graphics pipeline implementation: GPUs}

Specialized processors for executing graphics pipeline computations


Integrated GPU: part of modern Intel CPU die

\section*{GPU: heterogeneous, multi-core processor}

Modern GPUs offer ~2-4 TFLOPs of performance for executing vertex and fragment shader programs

\section*{T-OP's of fixed-function compute capability over here}


\section*{Summary}
- Occlusion resolved independently at each screen sample using the depth buffer
- Alpha compositing for semi-transparent surfaces
- Premultiplied alpha forms simply repeated composition
- "Over" compositing operations is not commutative: requires triangles to be processed in back-to-front (or front-to-back) order
- Graphics pipeline:
- Structures rendering computation as a sequence of operations performed on vertices, primitives (e.g., triangles), fragments, and screen samples
- Behavior of parts of the pipeline is application-defined using shader programs.
- Pipeline operations implemented by highly, optimized parallel processors and fixed-function hardware (GPUs)```

