Lecture 23:
Physically-Based Animation and PDEs

Computer Graphics
CMU 15-462/15-662
Modern graphics uses optimization!
Many complex criteria/constraints
Basic technique: numerical descent
- pick initial guess
- ski downhill
- keep fingers crossed!

Gradient descent important example of ordinary differential equation (ODE)

Today: return to differential equations
- saw ODEs—derivatives in time
- now PDEs—also have derivatives in space
- describe many natural phenomena (water, smoke, cloth, ...)
- recent revolution in CG/visual effects
Partial Differential Equations (PDEs)

- ODE: *Implicitly* describe function in terms of its *time derivatives*
- PDE: Also include **spatial derivatives** in implicit description
- Like any implicit description, have to solve for actual function

**ODE**—rock flies through air

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} x(t) = g
\]

**PDE**—rock lands in pond

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} h(t, x, y) = \Delta h(t, x, y)
\]
To make a long story short...

- Solving ODE looks like “add a little velocity each time”

$$q_{k+1} = q_k + \tau f(q)$$

- Solving a PDE looks like “take weighted combination of neighbors to get velocity (...and add a little velocity each time)”

$$q_{k+1} = q_k + \tau f(q)$$

...obviously there is a lot more to say here!
Solving a PDE in Code

Don’t be intimidated—very simple code can give rise to beautiful behavior!

```c
void simulateWaves2D() {
    const int N = 128; // grid size
    double u[N][N]; // height
    double v[N][N]; // velocity (time derivative of height)
    const double tau = 0.2; // time step size
    const double alpha = 0.985; // damping factor

    for( int frame = 0; true; frame++ ) {  // loop forever
        // drop random "stones"
        if( frame % 100 == 0 ) u[rand()%N][rand()%N] = -1;
        // update velocity
        for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
            for( int j = 0; j < N; j++ ) {
                int i0 = (i + N-1) % N; // left
                int i1 = (i + N+1) % N; // right
                int j0 = (j + N-1) % N; // down
                int j1 = (j + N+1) % N; // up
                v[i][j] += tau * (u[i0][j] + u[i1][j] + u[i][j0] + u[i][j1] - 4*u[i][j])
                v[i][j] *= alpha; // damping
            }
        // update height
        for( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )
            for( int j = 0; j < N; j++ ) {
                u[i][j] += tau * v[i][j];
            }
        display( u );
    }
}
```
Liquid Simulation in Graphics

Smoke Simulation in Graphics

S. Weißmann, U. Pinkall. “Filament-based smoke with vortex shedding and variational reconnection”
Cloth Simulation in Graphics

Zhili Chen, Renguo Feng and Huamin Wang, “Modeling friction and air effects between cloth and deformable bodies”
Elasticity in Graphics

Irving, G., Schroeder, C. and Fedkiw, R., "Volume Conserving Finite Element Simulation of Deformable Models"
Hair Simulation in Graphics

Danny M. Kaufman, Rasmus Tamstorf, Breannan Smith, Jean-Marie Aubry, Eitan Grinspun,
“Adaptive Nonlinearity for Collisions in Complex Rod Assemblies”
Fracture in Graphics

James F. O'Brien, Adam Bargteil, Jessica Hodgins, “Graphical Modeling and Animation of Ductile Fracture”
Viscoelasticity in Graphics

Chris Wojtan, Greg Turk, “Fast Viscoelastic Behavior with Thin Features”
Definition of a PDE

■ Want to solve for a function of time and space

\[ u(t, x) \]

■ Function given implicitly in terms of derivatives:

\[ \dot{u}, \ddot{u}, \frac{d^3 u}{dt^3}, \frac{d^4 u}{dt^4}, \ldots \]

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}, \frac{\partial^{m+n} u}{\partial x_1^m \partial x_n^n}, \ldots \]

■ Example:

\[ \dot{u} + uu' = \alpha u'' \]

(Burgers’ equation)
Anatomy of a PDE

Linear vs. nonlinear: how are derivatives combined?

- Nonlinear:
  \[ \dot{u} + uu' = au'' \]  
  \[ \dot{u} = au'' \]
  (Burgers’ equation)
  (diffusion equation)

Order: how many derivatives in space & time?

- 1st order in time
  \[ \dot{u} + uu' = au'' \]  
  (Burgers’ equation)
- 2nd order in space
  \[ \ddot{u} = au''' \]
  (wave equation)

Rule of thumb: nonlinear / higher order \( \Rightarrow \) HARDER TO SOLVE!
Model Equations

Fundamental behavior of many important PDEs is well-captured by three model linear equations:

- **LAPLACE EQUATION ("ELLIPTIC")**
  \[ \Delta u = 0 \]
  “what’s the smoothest function interpolating the given boundary data”
  - EASIER

- **HEAT EQUATION ("PARABOLIC")**
  \[ \dot{u} = \Delta u \]
  “how does an initial distribution of heat spread out over time?”
  - INTERMEDIATE

- **WAVE EQUATION ("HYPERBOLIC")**
  \[ \ddot{u} = \Delta u \]
  “if you throw a rock into a pond, how does the wavefront evolve over time?”
  - ADVANCED

[ NONLINEAR + HYPERBOLIC + HIGH-ORDER ]
Elliptic PDEs / Laplace Equation

- “What’s the smoothest function interpolating the given boundary data?”

- Conceptually: each value is at the average of its “neighbors”
- Roughly speaking, why is it easier to solve?
- Very robust to errors: just keep averaging with neighbors!

Image from Solomon, Crane, Vouga, “Laplace-Beltrami: The Swiss Army Knife of Geometry Processing”
Parabolic PDEs / Heat Equation

- “How does an initial distribution of heat spread out over time?”

- After a long time, solution is same as Laplace equation!

- Models damping / viscosity in many physical systems
Hyperbolic PDEs / Wave Equation

“If you throw a rock into a pond, how does the wavefront evolve over time?”

Errors made at the beginning will persist for a long time! (hard)
PDEs give an **implicit** description of solution.

How do we compute solutions **explicitly**?
Numerical Solution of PDEs—Overview

- Like ODEs, most PDEs are difficult/impossible to solve analytically—especially if we want to incorporate data!
- Must instead use numerical time integration
- Basic strategy:
  - pick a time discretization (forward Euler, backward Euler...)
  - pick a spatial discretization (TODAY)
  - as with ODEs, perform time-stepping to advance solution
- Historically, very expensive—only for “hero shots” in movies
- Computers are ever faster...
- More & more use of PDEs
  - games, interactive tools, ...
Real Time PDE-Based Simulation (Fire)
Real Time PDE-Based Simulation (Water)

Nuttapong Chentanez, Matthias Müller, “Real-time Eulerian water simulation using a restricted tall cell grid” (2011)
Lagrangian vs. Eulerian

- Two basic ways to discretize space: *Lagrangian* & *Eulerian*
- E.g., suppose we want to encode the motion of a fluid

**LAGRANGIAN**
- track position & velocity of moving particles

**EULERIAN**
- track velocity (or *flux*) at fixed grid locations
Lagrangian vs. Eulerian—Trade-Offs

- Lagrangian
  - conceptually easy (like polygon soup!)
  - resolution/domain not limited by grid
  - good particle distribution can be tough
  - finding neighbors can be expensive

- Eulerian
  - fast, regular computation
  - easy to represent, e.g., smooth surfaces
  - simulation “trapped” in grid
  - grid causes “numerical diffusion” (blur)
  - need to understand PDEs (but you will!)
Mixing Lagrangian & Eulerian

- Of course, no reason you have to choose just one!
- Many modern methods mix Lagrangian & Eulerian:
  - PIC/FLIP, particle level sets, mesh-based surface tracking, Voronoi-based, arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE), ...

- Pick the right tool for the job!

Maya Bifrost
Aside: Which Quantity Do We Solve For?

- Many PDEs have mathematically equivalent formulations in terms of different quantities
- E.g., incompressible fluids:
  - *velocity*—how fast is each particle moving?
  - *vorticity*—how fast is fluid “spinning” at each point?
- Computationally, can make a big difference
- *Pick the right tool for the job!*
Ok, but we’re getting way ahead of ourselves. How do we solve easy PDEs?
Numerical PDEs—Basic Strategy

- Pick PDE formulation
  - Which quantity do we want to solve for?
  - E.g., velocity or vorticity?

- Pick spatial discretization
  - How do we approximate derivatives in space?

- Pick time discretization
  - How do we approximate derivatives in time?
  - When do we evaluate forces?
  - Forward Euler, backward Euler, symplectic Euler, ...

- Finally, we have an update rule

- Repeatedly solve to generate an animation
The Laplace Operator

- All of our model equations used the Laplace operator
- Different conventions for symbol:
  \[ \Delta \text{ same symbol used for “change”} \quad \nabla^2 \text{ same symbol used for Hessian!} \]
- *Unbelievably* important object showing up everywhere across physics, geometry, signal processing, ...
- Ok, but what does it mean?
- *Differential operator*: eats a function, spits out its “2nd derivative”
- What does that mean for a function \( u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \)?
  - divergence of gradient
  - sum of second derivatives
  - deviation from local average
  - …

\[ \Delta u = \nabla \cdot \nabla u \]
\[ \Delta u = \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x_n^2} \]

For more intuition about the Laplacian: https://youtu.be/oEq9ROl9Umk
Discretizing the First Derivative

- To solve any PDE, need to approximate spatial derivatives (e.g., Laplacian)
- Suppose we know a function $u(x)$ only at regular intervals $h$

**Q:** How can we approximate the first derivative of $u$?

**A:** Recall definition of a derivative in terms of limits:

$$u'(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x + \varepsilon) - f(x)}{\varepsilon}$$

- Can hence get an approximation using known values:

$$u'(x_i) \approx \frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{h}$$

- Approximation gets better for finer grid (smaller $h$)
Discretizing the Second Derivative

Q: How can we get an approximation of the second derivative?

A: One idea*: approximate the first derivative of the approximate first derivative!

\[ u''(x_i) \approx \frac{u_i' - u_{i-1}'}{h} \approx \frac{\left( \frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{h} \right) - \left( \frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{h} \right)}{h} = \frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{h^2} \]

In general, this approach of approximating derivatives with differences is the “finite difference” approach to PDEs.

Not the only way! But works well on regular grids.

*Can show this is also a reasonable thing to do, using Taylor series
Discretizing the Laplacian

- How do we approximate the Laplacian?
- Depends on discretization (Eulerian, Lagrangian, grid, mesh, ...)
- Two extremely common ways in graphics:

\[
4u_{ij} - u_{i+1,j} - u_{i-1,j} - u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j-1} \over h^2
\]

- Also not too hard on point clouds, polygon meshes, ...
Numerically Solving the Laplace Equation

- Want to solve $\Delta u = 0$

- Plug in one of our discretizations, e.g.,

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
  & u_{i,j+1} & \\
\hline
  u_{i-1,j} & u_{i,j} & u_{i+1,j} \\
  & u_{i,j-1} & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[\frac{4u_{i,j} - u_{i-1,j} - u_{i+1,j} - u_{i,j-1} - u_{i,j+1}}{h^2} = 0\]

\[\iff u_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4} \left( u_{i-1,j} + u_{i+1,j} + u_{i,j-1} + u_{i,j+1} \right)\]

- If $u$ is a solution, then each value must be the average of the neighboring values ($u$ is a “harmonic function”)

- How do we solve this?

- One idea: keep averaging with neighbors! (“Jacobi method”)

- Correct, but slow. Much better to use modern linear solver
Aside: PDEs and Linear Equations

- How can we turn our Laplace equation into a linear solve?
- Have a bunch of equations of the form
  \[ 4u_{i,j} - u_{i-1,j} - u_{i+1,j} - u_{i,j-1} - u_{i,j+1} = 0 \]
- On a 4x4 grid, assign each cell \( u_{i,j} \) a unique index 1, \ldots, 16
- Can then write equations as a 16x16 matrix equation*:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -4
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u_1 \\
u_2 \\
u_3 \\
u_4 \\
u_5 \\
u_6 \\
u_7 \\
u_8 \\
u_9 \\
u_{10} \\
u_{11} \\
u_{12} \\
u_{13} \\
u_{14} \\
u_{15} \\
u_{16}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- Compute solution by calling **sparse** linear solver (SuiteSparse, Eigen, \ldots)
- Q: By the way, what’s wrong with our problem setup here? :-)

*assuming neighbors wrap around left/right and top/bottom
Boundary Conditions for Discrete Laplace

- What values do we use to compute averages near the boundary?

\[
a = \frac{1}{4}(b + c + \text{?} + e)
\]

- A: We get to choose—this is the data we want to interpolate!

- Two basic boundary conditions:
  1. **Dirichlet**—boundary data always set to fixed values
  2. **Neumann**—specify derivative (difference) across boundary

- Also mixed (**Robin**) boundary conditions (and more, in general)
Dirichlet Boundary Conditions

- Let's go back to smooth setting, function on real line
- *Dirichlet* means “prescribe values”
- E.g., \( \phi(0) = a, \phi(1) = b \)

Many possible functions "in between"!
Neumann Boundary Conditions

- *Neumann* means “prescribe derivatives”
- E.g., $\phi'(0) = u$, $\phi'(1) = v$

- Again, many possible functions!
Both Neumann & Dirichlet

- Or: prescribe some values, some derivatives
- E.g., \( \phi'(0) = u, \phi(1) = b \)

Q: What about \( \phi'(1) = v, \phi(1) = b? \) Does that work?

Q: What about \( \phi'(0) + \phi(0) = p, \phi'(1) + \phi(1) = q? \) (Robin)
1D Laplace w/ Dirichlet BCs

- **1D Laplace:** \( \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = 0 \)
- **Solutions:** \( \phi(x) = cx + d \)
- **Q:** Can we *always* satisfy given Dirichlet boundary conditions?

Yes: a line can interpolate any two points.
1D Laplace w/ Neumann BCs

- What about Neumann BCs?
- Q: Can we prescribe the derivative at both ends?

- No! A line has only one slope.
- In general, solution to a PDE may not exist for given BCs.
2D Laplace w/ Dirichlet BCs

- 2D Laplace: $\Delta \phi = 0$

- Q: Can satisfy any Dirichlet BCs? (given data along boundary)

- Yes: Laplace is long-time solution to heat flow

- Data is “heat” at boundary. Then just let it flow...
**2D Laplace w/ Neumann BCs**

- What about Neumann BCs for $\Delta \phi = 0$?
- Neumann BCs prescribe derivative in normal direction: $n \cdot \nabla \phi$
- Q: Can it always be done? (Wasn’t possible in 1D...)
- In 2D, we have the *divergence theorem*:

\[
\int_{\partial \Omega} n \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_{\Omega} \Delta \phi \overset{!}{=} 0
\]

- Should be called, “what goes in must come out theorem!”
- Can’t have a solution unless the net flux through the boundary is zero.
- Numerical libraries will *not* always tell you if there’s a problem!
- Trust, but verify (e.g., after solving $Ax = b$, compute $\|b - Ax\|$)
Solving the Heat Equation

- Back to our three model equations, want to solve heat eqn.
  \[ \dot{u} = \Delta u \]
- Just saw how to discretize Laplacian
- Also know how to do time (forward Euler, backward Euler, ...)
- E.g., forward Euler:
  \[ u^{k+1} = u^k + \Delta u^k \]
- Q: On a grid, what’s our overall update now at \( u_{i,j} \)?
  \[ u^{k+1}_{i,j} = u^k + \frac{\tau}{h^2} \left( 4u^k_{i,j} - u^k_{i+1,j} - u^k_{i-1,j} - u^k_{i,j+1} - u^k_{i,j-1} \right) \]
- *Not* hard to implement! Loop over grid, add up some neighbors.
Solving the Wave Equation

- Finally, wave equation:

\[ \ddot{u} = \Delta u \]

- Not much different; now have 2nd derivative in time

- By now we’ve learned two different techniques:
  - Convert to two 1st order (in time) equations:
    \[ \dot{u} = v, \quad \dot{v} = \Delta u \]
  - Or, use centered difference (like Laplace) in time:
    \[ \frac{u^{k+1} - 2u^k + u^{k-1}}{\tau^2} = \Delta u^k \]

- Plus all our choices about how to discretize Laplacian.

- *So many choices!* And many, many (many) more we didn’t discuss.
Wave Equation on a Grid, Triangle Mesh

Fish credit: Alec Jacobson (http://www.alecjacobson.com/weblog/?p=4363)
Fun with wave-like equations...

Technique: low-res thin shell simulation (via “position-based dynamics”) + Loop subdivision

https://www.adultswim.com/etcetera/elastic-man/

author: David Li
Wait, what about all that other cool stuff? (Fluids, hair, cloth, …)
Want to Know More?

- There are some good books:
- And papers:

  http://www.physicsbasedanimation.com/

- Also, what did the folks who wrote these books & papers read?