Lecture 4:

Drawing a Triangle
(and an Intro to Sampling)

Computer Graphics
CMU 15-462/15-662
HW 0.5 Due, HW 1 Out Today!

- GOAL: Implement a basic “rasterizer”
  - (Topic of today’s lecture)
  - We hand you a bunch of lines, triangles, etc.
  - You draw them by lighting up pixels on the screen!
- Code skeleton available (later today) from course webpage
- DUE SEPTEMBER 26 (~2 weeks)
TODAY: Rasterization

- Two major techniques for “getting stuff on the screen”
- Rasterization (TODAY)
  - for each primitive (e.g., triangle), which pixels light up?
  - extremely fast (BILLIONS of triangles per second on GPU)
  - harder (but not impossible) to achieve photorealism
  - perfect match for 2D vector art, fonts, quick 3D preview, ... 
- Ray tracing (LATER)
  - for each pixel, which primitives are seen?
  - easier to get photorealism
  - generally slower
  - much more later in the semester!
3D Image Generation Pipeline(s)

- Can talk about image generation in terms of a “pipeline”:
  - **INPUTS** — what image do we want to draw?
  - **STAGES** — sequence of transformations from input → output
  - **OUTPUTS** — the final image

E.g., our pipeline from the first lecture:

```
VERTICES
A: (1, 1, 1)   E: (1, 1, -1)
B: (-1, 1, 1)   F: (-1, 1, -1)
C: (1, -1, 1)   G: (1, -1, -1)
D: (-1, -1, 1)   H: (-1, -1, -1)

EDGES
AB, CD, EF, GH,
AC, BD, EG, FH,
AE, CG, BF, DH
```

INPUT  →  PERSPECTIVE PROJECTION STAGE  →  LINE DRAWING STAGE  →  OUTPUT
Rasterization Pipeline

- Modern real time image generation based on *rasterization*
  - INPUT: 3D “primitives”—essentially all triangles!
  - possibly with additional attributes (e.g., color)
  - OUTPUT: bitmap image (possibly w/ depth, alpha, …)

- **Our goal:** understand the stages in between*

*In practice, usually executed by *graphics processing unit (GPU)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERTICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: (1, 1, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: (-1, 1, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: (1, -1, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D: (-1, -1, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: (1, 1, -1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F: (-1, 1, -1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G: (1, -1, -1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H: (-1, -1, -1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIANGLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EHF, GFH, FGB, CBG,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHC, DCH, ABD, CDB,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HED, ADE, EFA, BAF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why triangles?

- Rasterization pipeline converts **all** primitives to triangles
  - even points and lines!
- **Why?**
  - can approximate any shape
  - always planar, well-defined normal
  - easy to interpolate data at corners
    - "**barycentric coordinates**"
- **Key reason:** once everything is reduced to triangles, can focus on making an extremely well-optimized pipeline for drawing them
The Rasterization Pipeline

Rough sketch of rasterization pipeline:

- Transform/position objects in the world
- Project objects onto the screen
- Sample triangle coverage
- Interpolate triangle attributes at covered samples
- Sample texture maps / evaluate shaders
- Combine samples into final image (depth, alpha, …)

- Reflects standard “real world” pipeline (OpenGL/Direct3D)
  — the rest is just details (e.g., API calls); will discuss in recitation
Let’s draw some triangles on the screen

Question 1: what pixels does the triangle overlap? (“coverage”)

Question 2: what triangle is closest to the camera in each pixel? (“occlusion”)
The visibility problem

- An informal definition: what scene geometry is visible within each screen pixel?
  - What scene geometry projects into a screen pixel? (coverage)
  - Which geometry is visible from the camera at that pixel? (occlusion)

(Recall *pinhole camera* from first lecture)
The visibility problem

- An informal definition: what scene geometry is visible within each screen pixel?
  - What scene geometry projects into a screen pixel? (coverage)
  - Which geometry is visible from the camera at that pixel? (occlusion)
The visibility problem (said differently)

- In terms of rays:
  - What scene geometry is hit by a ray from a pixel through the pinhole? (coverage)
  - What object is the first hit along that ray? (occlusion)

Hold onto this thought for later in the semester.
Computing triangle coverage

What pixels does the triangle overlap?

Input:
projected position of triangle vertices: $P_0, P_1, P_2$

Output:
set of pixels “covered” by the triangle
What does it mean for a pixel to be covered by a triangle?

Question: which triangles “cover” this pixel?
One option: compute fraction of pixel area covered by triangle, then color pixel according to this fraction.

Intuition: if triangle covers 10% of pixel, then pixel should be 10% red.
Coverage gets tricky when considering occlusion

Pixel covered by triangle 1, other half covered by triangle 2

Interpenetration of triangles: even trickier

Two regions of triangle 1 contribute to pixel. One of these regions is not even convex.
Coverage via sampling

- Real scenes are *complicated*!
  - occlusion, transparency, …
- Computing *exact* coverage is not practical
- Instead: view coverage as a sampling problem
  - don’t compute exact/analytical answer
  - instead, test a collection of sample points
  - with enough points & smart choice of sample locations, can start to get a good estimate
- First, let’s talk about sampling in general…
Sampling 101: Sampling a 1D signal

$f(x)$

$x$
Sampling = taking measurements of a signal

Below: 5 measurements ("samples") of $f(x)$
Audio file: stores samples of a 1D signal

Most consumer audio is sampled at 44.1 KHz
Reconstruction: given a set of samples, how might we attempt to reconstruct the original signal $f(x)$?
Piecewise constant approximation

\[ f_{\text{recon}}(x) = \text{value of sample closest to } x \]

\[ f_{\text{recon}}(x) \text{ approximates } f(x) \]
Piecewise linear approximation

\[ f_{\text{recon}}(x) = \text{linear interpolation between values of two closest samples to } x \]
How can we represent the signal more accurately?

Sample signal more densely (increase sampling rate)
Reconstruction from denser sampling

- \( x_0 \) to \( x_1 \)
- \( x_2 \) to \( x_3 \)
- \( x_4 \) to \( x_5 \)
- \( x_6 \) to \( x_7 \)
- \( x_8 \)

\( = \) reconstruction via nearest

\( = \) reconstruction via linear interpolation
2D Sampling & Reconstruction

Basic story doesn’t change much for images:
- sample values measure image (i.e., signal) at sample points
- apply interpolation/reconstruction filter to approximate image

original  
piecewise constant ("nearest neighbor")  
(piecewise bi-linear)
Sampling 101: Summary

- **Sampling** = measurement of a signal
  - Encode signal as discrete set of samples
  - In principle, represent values at specific points (though hard to measure in reality!)

- **Reconstruction** = generating signal from a discrete set of samples
  - Construct a function that interpolates or approximates function values
  - E.g., piecewise constant/“nearest neighbor”, or piecewise linear
  - Many more possibilities! For all kinds of signals (audio, images, geometry...)

[Image credit: Wikipedia]
For coverage, what function are we sampling?

\[
\text{coverage}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if the triangle contains point } (x,y) \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
Estimate triangle-screen coverage by sampling the binary function: \( \text{coverage}(x,y) \)

Example:
Here I chose the coverage sample point to be at a point corresponding to the pixel center.

\( (x+0.5, y+0.5) \)

\( = \) triangle covers sample, fragment generated for pixel

\( = \) triangle does not cover sample, no fragment generated
Edge cases (literally)

Is this sample point covered by triangle 1? or triangle 2? or both?
Breaking Ties*

- When edge falls directly on a screen sample point, the sample is classified as within triangle if the edge is a “top edge” or “left edge”
  - Top edge: horizontal edge that is above all other edges
  - Left edge: an edge that is not exactly horizontal and is on the left side of the triangle. (triangle can have one or two left edges)

*These are the rules used in OpenGL/Direct3D, i.e., in modern GPUs. Source: Direct3D Programming Guide, Microsoft
Results of sampling triangle coverage
I have a sampled signal, now I want to display it on a screen
Pixels on a screen

Each image sample sent to the display is converted into a little square of light of the appropriate color:
(a pixel = picture element)

* Thinking of each LCD pixel as emitting a square of uniform intensity light of a single color is a bit of an approximation to how real displays work, but it will do for now.
So if we send the display this:
We see this when we look at the screen
(assuming a screen pixel emits a square of perfectly uniform intensity of light)
Recall: the real coverage signal was this
Aliasing
Example: sound can be expressed as a superposition of frequencies

\[ f_1(x) = \sin(\pi x) \]

\[ f_2(x) = \sin(2\pi x) \]

\[ f_4(x) = \sin(4\pi x) \]

\[ f(x) = f_1(x) + 0.75 f_2(x) + 0.5 f_4(x) \]
An audio spectrum analyzer shows the amplitude of each frequency.
Images can also be decomposed into “frequencies”

Spatial domain result

Spectrum
Low frequencies only (smooth gradients)

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after low-pass filter)
All frequencies above cutoff have 0 magnitude
Mid-range frequencies

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after band-pass filter)
Mid-range frequencies

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after band-pass filter)
High frequencies (edges)

Spatial domain result (strongest edges)

Spectrum (after high-pass filter)
All frequencies below threshold have 0 magnitude
An image as a sum of its frequency components

=
1D example: Undersampling high-frequency signals results in aliasing

Low-frequency signal: sampled adequately for accurate reconstruction

High-frequency signal is insufficiently sampled: reconstruction appears to be from a low frequency signal

"Aliasing": high frequencies in the original signal masquerade as low frequencies after reconstruction (due to undersampling)
Temporal aliasing: wagon wheel effect

Camera’s frame rate (temporal sampling rate) is too low for rapidly spinning wheel.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VNftf5gLpiA
Nyquist-Shannon theorem

- Consider a band-limited signal: has no frequencies above \( \omega_0 \)
  - 1D: consider low-pass filtered audio signal
  - 2D: recall the blurred image example from a few slides ago

The signal can be perfectly reconstructed if sampled with period \( T = 1 / 2\omega_0 \)

And interpolation is performed using a “sinc filter”

- Ideal filter with no frequencies above cutoff (infinite extent!)

\[
sinc(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}
\]
Challenges of sampling-based approaches in graphics

- Our signals are not always band-limited in computer graphics. Why?
  
  Hint:

- Also, infinite extent of “ideal” reconstruction filter (sinc) is impractical for efficient implementations. Why?
Aliasing artifacts in images

- Undersampling high-frequency signals and the use of non-ideal resampling filters yields image artifacts
  - “Jaggies” in a single image
  - “Roping” or “shimmering” of images when animated
  - Moiré patterns in high-frequency areas of images
Sampling a zone plate: $\sin(x^2 + y^2)$

- Rings in center-left: Actual signal (low frequency oscillation)
- Rings on right: aliasing from undersampling high frequency oscillation and then resampling back to Keynote slide resolution
- (0,0)
- Middle: (interaction between actual signal and aliased reconstruction)

Figure credit: Pat Hanrahan and Bryce Summers
Initial coverage sampling rate (1 sample per pixel)
Increase density of sampling coverage signal
(high frequencies exist in coverage signal because of triangle edges)
Supersampling

Example: stratified sampling using four samples per pixel
Resampling

Converting from one discrete sampled representation to another

Original signal (high frequency edge)

Reconstructed signal (lacks high frequencies)

Dense sampling of reconstructed signal

Coarsely sampled signal
Resample to display’s pixel resolution

(Because a screen displays one sample value per screen pixel...)
Resample to display’s pixel rate (box filter)
Resample to display’s pixel rate (box filter)
Displayed result (note anti-aliased edges)
Recall: the real coverage signal was this
Sampling coverage

- We want the light emitted from a display to be an accurate match to
  match the ground truth signal: \( \text{coverage}(x,y) \)

- Resampling a densely sampled signal (supersampled) integrates
  coverage values over the entire pixel region. The integrated result
  is sent to the display (and emitted by the pixel) so that the light
  emitted by the pixel is similar to what would be emitted in that
  screen region by an “infinite resolution display”
How do we actually evaluate coverage(x,y) for a triangle?
Point-in-triangle test

Compute triangle edge equations from projected positions of vertices

\[ P_i = (X_i, Y_i) \]

\[ dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i \]
\[ dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) dY_i - (y - Y_i) dX_i \]
\[ = A_i x + B_i y + C_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = 0 \] : point on edge
\[ > 0 \] : outside edge
\[ < 0 \] : inside edge
Point-in-triangle test

\[ P_i = (X_i, Y_i) \]

\[ dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i \]

\[ dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) dY_i - (y - Y_i) dX_i \]

\[ = A_i x + B_i y + C_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = 0 \] : point on edge

\[ > 0 \] : outside edge

\[ < 0 \] : inside edge
Point-in-triangle test

\[ P_i = (X_i, Y_i) \]

\[ dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i \]
\[ dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) dY_i - (y - Y_i) dX_i = A_i x + B_i y + C_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = 0 : \text{point on edge} \]
\[ > 0 : \text{outside edge} \]
\[ < 0 : \text{inside edge} \]
Point-in-triangle test

\( P_i = (X_i, Y_i) \)

\( dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i \)

\( dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i \)

\[ E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) dY_i - (y - Y_i) dX_i \]

\[ = A_i x + B_i y + C_i \]

\( E_i(x, y) = 0 \) : point on edge

\( > 0 \) : outside edge

\( < 0 \) : inside edge
Point-in-triangle test

Sample point $s = (sx, sy)$ is inside the triangle if it is inside all three edges.

$$\text{inside}(sx, sy) = E_0(sx, sy) < 0 \land E_1(sx, sy) < 0 \land E_2(sx, sy) < 0;$$

Note: actual implementation of $\text{inside}(sx, sy)$ involves $\leq$ checks based on the triangle coverage edge rules (see beginning of lecture)

Sample points inside triangle are highlighted red.
**Incremental triangle traversal**

\[ P_i = (X_i, Y_i) \]

\[ dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i \]
\[ dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) \, dY_i - (y - Y_i) \, dX_i \]
\[ = A_i \, x + B_i \, y + C_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = 0 : \text{point on edge} \]
\[ > 0 : \text{outside edge} \]
\[ < 0 : \text{inside edge} \]

**Efficient incremental update:**

\[ dE_i(x+1, y) = E_i(x, y) + dY_i = E_i(x, y) + A_i \]
\[ dE_i(x, y+1) = E_i(x, y) + dX_i = E_i(x, y) + B_i \]

Incremental update saves computation:
Only one addition per edge, per sample test

Many traversal orders are possible: backtrack, zig-zag, Hilbert/Morton curves (locality maximizing)
Modern approach: tiled triangle traversal

Traverse triangle in blocks

Test all samples in block against triangle in parallel

Advantages:
- Simplicity of wide parallel execution overcomes cost of extra point-in-triangle tests (most triangles cover many samples, especially when super-sampling coverage)
- Can skip sample testing work: entire block not in triangle ("early out"), entire block entirely within triangle ("early in")
- Additional advantages related to accelerating occlusion computations (not discussed today)

All modern GPUs have special-purpose hardware for efficiently performing point-in-triangle tests
Summary

- We formulated computing triangle-screen coverage as a sampling problem
  - Triangle-screen coverage is a 2D signal
  - Undersampling and the use of simple (non-ideal) reconstruction filters may yield aliasing
  - In today’s example, we reduced aliasing via supersampling

- Image formation on a display
  - When samples are 1-to-1 with display pixels, sample values are handed directly to display
  - When “supersampling”, resample densely sampled signal down to display resolution

- Sampling screen coverage of a projected triangle:
  - Performed via three point-inside-edge tests
  - Real-world implementation challenge: balance conflicting goals of avoiding unnecessary point-in-triangle tests and maintaining parallelism in algorithm implementation
Next time: 3D Transformations