# Variance Reduction 

## Computer Graphics

CMU 15-462/15-662, Fall 2016

## Last time: Rendering Equation

- Recursive description of incident illumination
- Difficult to integrate; tour de force of numerical integration
- Leads to lots of sophisticated integration strategies:
- sampling strategies
- variance reduction
- Markov chain methods

■ Today: get a glimpse of these ideas
■ Also valuable outside rendering!


- E.g., innovations coming from geometry processing/meshing
$L_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)=L_{e}\left(\mathbf{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)+\int_{\Omega} f_{r}\left(\mathbf{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right) L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathbf{n}\right) \mathrm{d} \omega_{\mathrm{i}}$


## Review: Monte Carlo Integration



General-purpose hammer: Monte-Carlo integration


## Review: Expected Value (DISCRETE)

A discrete random variable $X$ has $n$ possible outcomes $x_{i}$, occuring w/ probabilities $0 \leq p_{i} \leq 1, p_{1}+\ldots+p_{\mathrm{n}}=1$

E.g., what's the expected value for a fair coin toss?


## Review: Continuous Random Variables

A continuous random variable $X$ takes values $x$ anywhere in a set $\Omega$
Probability density $p$ gives probability x appears in a given region.

## E.g., probability you fall asleep at time $t$ in a 15-462 lecture:



## Review: Expected Value (CONTINUOUS)

Expected value of continuous random variable again just the "weighted average" with respect to probability $p$ :

E.g., expected time of falling asleep?


Flaw of Averages


## Review: Variance

- Expected value is the "average value"

■ Variance is how far we are from the average, on average!

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X):=E\left[(X-E[X])^{2}\right]
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left(x_{i}-\sum_{j} p_{j} x_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

CONTINUOUS

$$
\int_{\Omega} p(x)\left(x-\int_{\Omega} y p(y) d y\right)^{2} d x
$$

- Standard deviation $\sigma$ is just the square root of variance

(any more intuitive?)


## Variance Reduction in Rendering


higher variance

lower variance

## Q: How do we reduce variance?

## Variance Reduction Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega:=[0,2] \times[0,2] \\
& f(x, y):= \begin{cases}1 & \lfloor x\rfloor+\lfloor y\rfloor \text { is even, } \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& I:=\int_{\Omega} f(x, y) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$



Q: What's the expected value of the integrand $f$ ?
A: Just by inspection, it's $1 / 2$ (half white, half black!).
Q: What's its variance?
A: $(1 / 2)(0-1 / 2)^{2}+(1 / 2)(1-1 / 2)^{2}=(1 / 2)(1 / 4)+(1 / 2)(1 / 4)=1 / 4$
Q: How do we reduce the variance?

## That was a trick question.

# You can't reduce variance of the integrand! Can only reduce variance of an estimator. 

## Variance of an Estimator

- An "estimator" is a formula used to approximate an integral
■ Most important example: our Monte Carlo estimate:

$$
I=\int_{\substack{\Omega \\ \text { true integral }}} f(x) d x \quad \hat{I}:=V(\Omega) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

- Get different estimates for different collections of samples
- Want to reduce variance of estimate across different samples
- Why? Integral itself only has one value!

■ Many, many (many) techniques for reducing variance

- We will review some key examples for rendering


## Bias \& Consistency

- Two important things to ask about an estimator
- Is it consistent?
- Is it biased?

■ Consistency: "converges to the correct answer"


■ Unbiased: "estimate is correct on average"


■ Consistent does not imply unbiased!

## Example 1: Consistent or Unbiased?

- My estimator for the integral over an image:
- take $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{m x} \mathbf{m}$ samples at fixed grid points
- sum the contributions of each box
- let moto $\boldsymbol{\infty}$


Is this estimator consistent? Unbiased?

## Example 2: Consistent or Unbiased?

- My estimator for the integral over an image:
- take only a single random sample of the image ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ )
- multiply it by the image area
- use this value as my estimate


Is this estimator consistent? Unbiased?
(What if I then let n go to $\infty$ ?)

## Why does it matter?



Rule of thumb: unbiased estimators have more predictable behavior / fewer parameters to tweak to get correct result (which says nothing about performance...)

## Consistency \& Bias in Rendering Algorithms

| method | consistent? | unbiased? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rasterization* | NO | NO |
| path tracing | ALMOST | ALMOST |
| bidirectional path tracing | ??? | ??? |
| Metropolis light transport | ??? | ??? |
| photon mapping | ??? | ??? |
| radiosity | $? ? ? ?$ |  |

## Naïve Path Tracing: Which Paths Can We Trace?

 from reflection

Q: What's the probability we sample the reflected direction?
A: ZERO.
Q: What's the probability we hit a point light source?
A: ZERO.

# Naïve path tracing misses important phenomena! (Formally: the result is biased.) 

# ...But isn't this example pathological? No such thing as point light source, perfect mirror. 

## Real lighting can be close to pathological

## small directional light source



Still want to render this scene!

## Light has a very "spiky" distribution

- Consider the view from each bounce in our disco scene:

view from camera

view from diffuse bounce mirrored ball (pink) covers small percentage of solid angle

view from specular bounce area light (white) covers small percentage of solid angle


Probability that a uniformly-sampled path carries light is the product of the solid angle fractions. (Very small!)

Then consider even more bounces...

## Just use more samples?


path tracing - 16 samples/pixel

path tracing-8192 samples/pixel

path tracing - 128 samples/pixel

how do we get here? (photo)

## We need better sampling strategies!

## Review: Importance Sampling

■ Simple idea: sample the integrand according to how much we expect it to contribute to the integral.

naïve Monte Carlo:

$f(x)$

complicated integrand
$p(x)$

our best guess for where the integrand is "big"

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(\Omega) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right. \text { are sampled uniformly) }
\end{gathered}
$$

importance sampled Monte Carlo:

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{p\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are sampled proportional to p )
"If I sample x more frequently, each sample should count for less; if I sample x less
frequently, each sample should count for more."

Q: What happens when $p$ is proportional to $f(p=c f)$ ?

## Importance Sampling in Rendering

materials: sample important "lobes"

(c) www.scratchapixel.com
illumination: sample bright lights

(important special case: perfect mirror!)

Q: How else can we re-weight our choice of samples?

## Path Space Formulation of Light Transport

■ So far have been using recursive rendering equation:

$$
L_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)=L_{e}\left(\mathbf{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)+\int_{\Omega} f_{r}\left(\mathbf{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right) L_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\omega_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathbf{n}\right) \mathrm{d} \omega_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

- Make intelligent "local" choices at each step (material/ lights)
■ Alternatively, we can use a "path integral" formulation:
how much "light" is carried by this path?


■ Opens the door to intelligent "global" importance sampling. (But still hard!)

## Unit Hypercube View of Path Space

- Paths determined by a sequence of random values $\}$ in $[0,1]$

■ Hence, path of length $k$ is a point in hypercube $[0,1]^{k}$
■ "Just" integrate over cubes of each dimension $k$
■ E.g., two bounces in a 2D scene:

each bounce: $\xi \in[0,1] \mapsto \theta \in[0, \pi]$


## How do we choose paths—and path lengths?

## Bidirectional Path Tracing

- Forward path tracing: no control over path length (hits light after $n$ bounces, or gets terminated by Russian Roulette)
- Idea: connect paths from light, eye ("bidirectional")

- Importance sampling? Need to carefully weight contributions of path according to sampling strategy.
■ (Details in Veach \& Guibas, "Bidirectional Estimators for Light Transport")


## Bidirectional Path Tracing (Path Length=2)


standard (forward) path tracing
fails for point light sources

visualize particles from light

direct lighting


## Contributions of Different Path Lengths



## Good paths can be hard to find!



Idea:
Once we find a good path, perturb it to find nearby "good" paths.

bidirectional path tracing


Metropolis light transport (MLT)

## Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm (MH)

- Standard Monte Carlo: sum up independent samples
- MH: take random walk of dependent samples ("mutations")
- Basic idea: prefer to take steps that increase sample value


$$
\begin{aligned}
& a:=f\left(x^{\prime}\right) / f(x) \begin{array}{c}
\text { "transition } \\
\text { probability" }
\end{array} \\
& \text { if random } x_{i} \text { in }[0,1]<a \text { : } \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \\
& \text { else: } \\
& X_{i+1}=X_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If careful, sample distribution will be proportional to integrand
- make sure mutations are "ergodic" (reach whole space)
- need to take a long walk, so initial point doesn't matter ("mixing")


## Metropolis-Hastings: Sampling an Image

- Want to take samples proportional to image density f
- Start at random point; take steps in (normal) random direction
- Occasionally jump to random point (ergodicity)
- Transition probability is "relative darkness" $f\left(x^{\prime}\right) / f\left(x_{i}\right)$



## Metropolis Light Transport


(For details see Veach, "Robust Monte Carlo Methods for Light Transport Simulation")

path tracing


Metropolis light transport (same time)

## Multiple Importance Sampling (MIS)

- Many possible importance sampling strategies

■ Which one should we use for a given integrand?

- MIS: combine strategies to preserve strengths of all of them
- Balance heuristic is (provably!) about as good as anything:

$p 2(x)$


Still, several improvements possible (cutoff, power, max)—see Veach \& Guibas.

## Multiple Importance Sampling: Example


sample materials

multiple importance sampling (power heuristic)

sample lights

# $\mathbf{0 k}$, so importance is important. 

But how do we sample our<br>function in the first place?

## Sampling Patterns \& Variance Reduction

■ Want to pick samples according to a given density

- But even for uniform density, lots of possible sampling patterns

uniform sampling density


## Stratified Sampling

■ How do we pick $n$ values from $[0,1]$ ?

- Could just pick $n$ samples uniformly at random
- Alternatively: split into $\boldsymbol{n}$ bins, pick uniformly in each bin


■ FACT: stratified estimate never has larger variance (often lower)

$f(x)$



Intuition: each stratum has smaller variance. (Proof by linearity of expectation!)

## Stratified Sampling in Rendering/Graphics

- Simply replacing uniform samples with stratified ones already improves quality of sampling for rendering (...and other graphics/visualization tasks!)
uniform

stratified



## Low-Discrepancy Sampling

■ "No clumps" hints at one possible criterion for a good sample:

- Number of samples should be proportional to area
- Discrepancy measures deviation from this ideal


See especially: Dobkin et al, "Computing Discrepancy w/ Applications to Supersampling" (1996)

## Quasi-Monte Carlo methods (QMC)

- Replace truly random samples with low-discrepancy samples
■ Why? Koksma's theorem:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)-\int_{0}^{\text {sample points in }} f(x) d x\right| \leq \stackrel{\downarrow}{\mathcal{V}}(f) L
$$

- Similar bounds can be shown in higher dimensions
- WARNING: total variation not always bounded!
- WARNING: only for family F of axis-aligned boxes S!
- E.g., edges can have arbitrary orientation (coverage)
- Discrepancy still a very reasonable criterion in practice



## Hammersley \& Halton Points

- Can easy generate samples with near-optimal discrepancy
- First define radical inverse $\varphi_{r}(i)$
- Express integer $i$ in base $r$, then reflect digits around decimal
- E.g., $\varphi_{10}(1234)=0.4321$
- Can get $\boldsymbol{n}$ Halton points $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ in $\boldsymbol{k}$-dimensions via

$$
x_{i}=\left(\phi_{P_{1}}(i), \phi_{P_{2}}(i), \ldots, \phi_{P_{k}}(i)\right)
$$

- Similarly, Hammersley sequence is

$$
x_{i}=\left(i / n, \phi_{P_{1}}(i), \phi_{P_{2}}(i), \ldots, \phi_{P_{k-1}}(i)\right)
$$ $n$ must be known ahead of time!


Halton


## Wait, but doesn't a regular grid have really low discrepancy...?



## There's more to life than discrepancy

- Even low-discrepancy patterns can exhibit poor behavior:


$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)=1
$$



$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)=0
$$

- Want pattern to be anisotropic (no preferred direction)
- Also want to avoid any preferred frequency (see above!)


## Blue Noise - Motivation

■ Yellott observed that monkey retina exhibits blue noise


Fig. 13. Tangential section through the human fovea. Larger cones (arrows) are blue cones. From Ahnelt et al. 1987.

- No obvious preferred directions (anisotropic)

■ What about frequencies?

## Blue Noise - Fourier Transform

- Can analyze quality of a sample pattern in Fourier domain



- Regular pattern has "spikes" at regular intervals
- Blue noise is spread evenly over all frequencies in all directions

■ bright center"ring" corresponds to sample spacing

## Spectrum affects reconstruction quality


(from Balzer et al 2009)


## Poisson Disk Sampling

■ How do you generate a "nice" sample?
■ One of the earliest algorithms: Poisson disk sampling

- Iteratively add random non-overlapping disks (until no space


Decent spectral quality, but we can do better.

## Lloyd Relaxation

- Iteratively move each disk to the center of its neighbors


Better spectral quality, slow to converge. Can do better yet...

## Voronoi-Based Methods

- Natural evolution of Lloyd
- Associate each sample with set of closest points (Voronoi cell)

■ Optimize qualities of this Voronoi diagram
■ E.g., sample is at cell's center of mass, cells have same area,


Voronoi

centroidal

equal area

## Adaptive Blue Noise

■ Can adjust cell size to sample a given density (e.g., importance)


Computational tradeoff: expensive* precomputation / efficient sampling.

# How do we efficiently sample from a large distribution? 

## Sampling from the CDF

## To randomly select an event,

 select $x_{i}$ if
$P_{i-1}<\xi<P_{i}$


Uniform random variable $\in[0,1]$

e.g., \# of pixels in an environment map (big!)<br>1

Cost? O(n $\log \mathrm{n})$

## Alias Table

- Get amortized 0(1) sampling by building "alias table"

■ Basic idea: rob from the rich, give to the poor (0(n)):



- Table just stores two identities \& ratio of heights per column
- To sample:
- pick uniform \# between 1 and $n$
- biased coin flip to pick one of the two identities in $n$th column


## Ok, great! <br> Now that we've mastered Monte Carlo rendering, what other techniques are there?

## Photon Mapping

- Trace particles from light, deposit "photons" in kd-tree
- Especially useful for, e.g., caustics, participating media


Interestingly enough, Voronoi diagrams also used to improve photon distribution!


## Finite Element Radiosity

■ Very different approach: transport between patches in scene

■ Solve large linear system for equilibrium distribution
■ Good for diffuse lighting; hard to capture other light paths


## Consistency \& Bias in Rendering Algorithms

| method | consistent? | unbiased? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rasterization | NO | NO |
| path tracing | ALMOST | ALMOST |
| bidirectional path tracing | YES | YES |
| Metropolis light transport | YES | YES |
| photon mapping | YES | NO |
| radiosity | NO | NO |

## Can you certify a renderer?

- Grand challenge: write a renderer that comes with a certificate (i.e., provable, formally-verified guarantee) that the image produced represents the illumination in a scene.
■ Harder than you might think!
■ Inherent limitation of sampling: you can never be 100\% certain that you didn't miss something important.


Can always make sun brighter, hole smaller...!

## Moment of Zen



